

LAST EDITION MONELL TALKS.

He Says He Has Been Treated Out-
rageously.

Tammany Has Not Asked that
Sheriff Flack Be Removed.

Monell and Meeks, However, May Be
Shut Out of the Wigwam.

A report that a conference had been held between Chamberlain Croker, Mayor Grant, W. Bourke Cockran and Corporation Counsel Clark, at which it was decided to ask Gov. Hill to remove Sheriff Flack, was prevalent this morning, and all sorts of rumors set afloat and conjectures made as to who would succeed to the sheriffship in such an event. Chamberlain Croker speedily disposed of these rumors, however.

He said that in the first place there had been no such conference, and that if there had been a consultation it would not have been on the subject hinted at.

"What has Tammany to do with Sheriff Flack's removal?" asked he.

"We have ridden the organization of him, and we are not going to let him ride the organization of us," he said. "The Sheriff is proven to be criminally irresponsible, when we would have the same interest that every other good citizen would have in seeing good government."

"I consider that Tammany Hall has done all that it can in securing Sheriff Flack's withdrawal from the organization."

"Every Tammany man connected with the affair will share the same fate. Every one of them will be removed from the organization and will be reformed."

This means that Civil Justice Monell and Refugio Joseph Meeks, his brother-in-law, will be set out of the wigwam in short order.

Savior Grant also denied that he had conferred with Chamberlain Croker or the other gentlemen named regarding this matter.

There were no more surprises in the Flack divorce proceedings this morning. It was reported that statements would be made by Judge Ambrose Monell and Joseph Meeks, the ex-referee in the case, but the former said he was not yet ready to make full disclosure, and the latter was not to be found.

Judge Monell, however, made some rather interesting statements regarding the proceedings of yesterday in Judge Broderick's court.

"The judge and the public seem to have assumed that I am a party to the case," he said. "I am not a party to the case, but I am a witness to it."

"I do not mean to say such as the case is," he said. "I am not a party to the case, but I am a witness to it."

"I never made a word to Mr. Wright in my life about this case," he said. "I am not a party to the case, but I am a witness to it."

"You don't deny the authenticity of your letter to Mr. Wright of April 23 authorizing him to appear for Mrs. Flack?"

"Well, I don't deny it," he said. "I will say this, however, that Mr. Wright knew personally of every phase of that suit from beginning to end. There is such a thing as giving a wrong impression to the Court and the public by concealing a part of the truth."

"What did Mr. Wright conceal?"

tion made this statement in regard to his position.

"In any equity case any party in interest has a right to be heard," Mr. Flack applied for that right through his counsel and was denied. Having failed to receive notice of the proceedings, Mr. Flack, still knowing that such a motion was to be heard, came into court and demanded to present his statement, being willing to submit himself to the jurisdiction of the Court for the purpose of having an end of the controversy."

Judge Fullerton said that the Sheriff had no intention of resigning his office.

Since his dismissal from the County Clerk's office yesterday ex-Refugio Meeks has not out in his apartment at the New York Hotel, where he is stopping, his chair was vacant to-day.

It could not be learned that any steps had been taken to bring any of the parties interested in the Flack case before the Grand Jury.

HE WAS NOT SHOT AT.

Al Daggett Laughs at His Reported
Escape from Assassination.

"Who struck Billy Patterson?" was the question put by an EVENING WORLD reporter to ex-Sheriff Al Daggett, of Kings County, as he lounged in an arm-chair at the Grand Union Hotel, where he is stopping, this morning.

The politician's lanky side whiskers were parted a little more by a broad grin, and Mr. Daggett replied, quizzically: "The same fellow who fired a pop-gun at me last night."

"I see by the morning papers that Al Daggett had a narrow escape from an assassin. I didn't know it till I read the papers, though the clerk awoke me at 1.30 o'clock this morning to say that a lot of reporters wanted to see me. I didn't come downstairs, but went to sleep again."

"Now, I'll tell you, Marshall Paine, Harry Tompkins and I were over to Schoonmaker's drug store on Forty-second street and Park avenue, at 9.45 o'clock. I sat in a chair while they drank coffee."

"Something cracked on the glass behind the fountain, twenty feet or so from where I sat, and it was found to be a shot, probably from a Flower rifle or some other air-gun or pop-gun."

"A man, a stranger, began to talk about somebody shot at him, and I saw a man, mysteriously lighting that there was a pistol in his hand. Then I saw a man, mysteriously lighting that there was a pistol in his hand. Then I saw a man, mysteriously lighting that there was a pistol in his hand."

"The shooter exercised poor judgment, for if it was a pistol it wasn't larger than 16 calibre, and they may shoot me full of 'em if they agree to do so."

"S. S. Dell is quoted by the morning papers as saying that the shot was fired at the Grand Union Hotel, where he is stopping, this morning. He is a pistol in his hand. Then I saw a man, mysteriously lighting that there was a pistol in his hand."

"There is a back stand in Park avenue, and forty backs and cabs were standing alongside the drug store last evening. The hole in the wall was not a foot from the back stand, and no bullet came through."

The marble fountain covers up this window, anyway, and a shot would hardly be dangerous to any one behind that fountain."

The idea that any one had fired a shot into the drug store last evening, was suggested to the police at the Twenty-third street Precinct, though the facts were reported there. Some boy had probably accidentally shot off an air-gun and then run away."

EIGHT RELATIVES IN TWO.

Singular Complications Arising From a Step-
father Marrying His Stepdaughter.

PITTSBURGH, Aug. 14.—(Special to the Evening World.)

Presenting, Aug. 14.—(Special to the Evening World.)

Presenting, Aug. 14.—(Special to the Evening World.)

RACERS FOR NEWS.

Events Not on the Programme Outside
the Monmouth Park Course.

What a Run It Was to Eatontown
After the Omnibus!

"The Evening World" Combination
First at the Telegraph
Station, as Usual.

There were a series of exciting races at Monmouth Park yesterday that were not down on the card, and yet they eclipsed the great race for the Omnibus Stakes.

The races referred to were held outside the gates, and the participants were pool-room and newspaper men.

When THE EVENING WORLD men arrived at Monmouth Park yesterday morning they learned that the officials, nettled at the way pool-room men had been getting their news, had taken some stringent precautions than at any previous time.

The gates were ordered to be closed after the first race and no one was to be permitted to leave the track until 1 o'clock.

Such, Secretary Croft told THE EVENING WORLD men, were Mr. Wilber's orders. The latter gentleman was found and denied that he had given any such orders. He said he would pass THE EVENING WORLD men out at 1 o'clock.

The first trip was made without anything happening worth mention. There was no excitement, and no race occurred just then.

There was, however, a motley crowd engaged in carrying news to the telegraph station for transmission to the pool-rooms.

The city men evidently had been discouraged at the way they received their news and had sent men of their own to the track.

First there was the reporter of the alleged sporting extra, who had a foot trotter and a farmer for a driver. Then there were two other single buggies, two double-seated buggies, three men on horseback, two gigs and two bays.

One of the buggies had for a driver a remarkably young man, who was driving a horse who handled the reins like a veteran, and who, it was evident, was being used to blind the watchful gatekeepers. One of the three men on horseback had for a mount a yellow pony, and the dare-devil way in which he rode his buckskin earned for him the sobriquet of "Texas Jack."

A colored man, who was driving a horse who handled the reins like a veteran, and who, it was evident, was being used to blind the watchful gatekeepers. One of the three men on horseback had for a mount a yellow pony, and the dare-devil way in which he rode his buckskin earned for him the sobriquet of "Texas Jack."

People living along the roads leading to Eatontown Junction now watch for these news carriers every day. Yesterday the knowledge that there was a big stake event at the track brought crowds of people to their front stoops and piazzas. At Hall's Hotel the news carriers were welcomed and cheered uproariously as the go-as-you-please racers swept around the turn to Eatontown Junction.

The reporter of the alleged foot trotter and a farmer for a driver. Then there were two other single buggies, two double-seated buggies, three men on horseback, two gigs and two bays.

One of the buggies had for a driver a remarkably young man, who was driving a horse who handled the reins like a veteran, and who, it was evident, was being used to blind the watchful gatekeepers. One of the three men on horseback had for a mount a yellow pony, and the dare-devil way in which he rode his buckskin earned for him the sobriquet of "Texas Jack."

A colored man, who was driving a horse who handled the reins like a veteran, and who, it was evident, was being used to blind the watchful gatekeepers. One of the three men on horseback had for a mount a yellow pony, and the dare-devil way in which he rode his buckskin earned for him the sobriquet of "Texas Jack."

People living along the roads leading to Eatontown Junction now watch for these news carriers every day. Yesterday the knowledge that there was a big stake event at the track brought crowds of people to their front stoops and piazzas. At Hall's Hotel the news carriers were welcomed and cheered uproariously as the go-as-you-please racers swept around the turn to Eatontown Junction.

The reporter of the alleged foot trotter and a farmer for a driver. Then there were two other single buggies, two double-seated buggies, three men on horseback, two gigs and two bays.

One of the buggies had for a driver a remarkably young man, who was driving a horse who handled the reins like a veteran, and who, it was evident, was being used to blind the watchful gatekeepers. One of the three men on horseback had for a mount a yellow pony, and the dare-devil way in which he rode his buckskin earned for him the sobriquet of "Texas Jack."

A colored man, who was driving a horse who handled the reins like a veteran, and who, it was evident, was being used to blind the watchful gatekeepers. One of the three men on horseback had for a mount a yellow pony, and the dare-devil way in which he rode his buckskin earned for him the sobriquet of "Texas Jack."

DENTISTS AT WAR.

Modemann's Wife and Son-in-Law Lay
Siege to the Office.

Mr. Young Says He'll Make a Night
Attack To-Night.

His Surprising Story of the Struggle for
the Tooth-Pulling Revenues.

"Modemann, Dentist," stares pedestrians in the face from a dozen signs on the building at the southwest corner of Third avenue and Thirty-fourth street, but Modemann is away in the West and Modemann's representative is under siege from Modemann's wife and Modemann's son-in-law, who dispute with him for possession of the office and the tooth-pulling business.

Modemann's representative is Dr. H. A. Lewis, a middle-aged man in black beard and hair. A reporter met him at the head of the stairs. He blocked the way inquiringly, but Lewis asked the reporter to wait.

"I decline to say who I am," replied the man.

"Is Dr. Lewis inside?"

"Yes, he is in charge of the office?"

"Then you are Dr. Lewis?"

Dr. Lewis owned up, but declined to say anything about the "merry war" going on with the capacious office for a battle-ground.

The reporter stepped aside. There was a plumed, little woman with a sad eye at a little desk, and a half dozen gentlemen and ladies were amusing themselves by yanking teeth and crowing from the mouths of a half dozen other ladies and gentlemen.

The lady said she was Mrs. Catharine Collins Modemann, wife and business partner of Dr. Lewis. She said that her husband's representative was Dr. H. A. Lewis, a middle-aged man in black beard and hair. A reporter met him at the head of the stairs. He blocked the way inquiringly, but Lewis asked the reporter to wait.

"I decline to say who I am," replied the man.

"Is Dr. Lewis inside?"

"Yes, he is in charge of the office?"

"Then you are Dr. Lewis?"

Dr. Lewis owned up, but declined to say anything about the "merry war" going on with the capacious office for a battle-ground.

The reporter stepped aside. There was a plumed, little woman with a sad eye at a little desk, and a half dozen gentlemen and ladies were amusing themselves by yanking teeth and crowing from the mouths of a half dozen other ladies and gentlemen.

The lady said she was Mrs. Catharine Collins Modemann, wife and business partner of Dr. Lewis. She said that her husband's representative was Dr. H. A. Lewis, a middle-aged man in black beard and hair. A reporter met him at the head of the stairs. He blocked the way inquiringly, but Lewis asked the reporter to wait.

"I decline to say who I am," replied the man.

"Is Dr. Lewis inside?"

"Yes, he is in charge of the office?"

TOM GOULD AGAIN.

The Arrest of His Bartender Reveals
His Whereabouts.

This Time He Is Doing Business
on Sixth Avenue.

He Is Still in Contempt of Court for
His Other Little Offenses.

Charles McPike, a bartender at Tom Gould's new place in the basement of 377 Sixth avenue, corner of Twenty-third street, was placed under arrest at two o'clock this morning by Detective McConnell, of the Nineteenth Precinct, charged with violating the Excise law.

The officer testified that when he entered the place it was in full blast. There were twenty-five people in the place. Justice Ford held the bartender in default of \$100 bail. No one appeared to bail him out.

T. Edmund Gould, or "Tom," as he is always called, ran one of the most famous dives in New York when he had the Sans Souci, in West Thirty-third street, which was a great resort in a year or two ago, when Gould was repeatedly arrested for keeping a disorderly house and selling liquor and giving musical entertainments without a license.

Tom's defense was that he was not the proprietor of the place, but that the latter was owned by his brother-in-law, Parker, then out in Denver, Col., under treatment for consumption.

Tom Gould always had witnesses to prove what he said, and was invariably discharged.

In October, 1897, Judge Donohue granted an injunction restraining Gould from even managing the place.

He kept it open despite this, and was arrested again and again. One pleasant morning he was arrested by the Supreme Court chambers, to answer charges of contempt of Court for failing to obey the injunction.

He was let out on bail, and suddenly disappeared. There he suddenly became afflicted with consumption. Doctors testified that he was dying, and was finally buried of contempt and released, to die it was supposed.

Since then he has kept pretty shady though, and it has been reported at various times that he was in the West for a long time.

A year ago Gould was again arrested for contempt of Court, in keeping open the Sans Souci, and Judge Patterson, of the Supreme Court, appointed J. Alfred Davenport referee, to determine whether Gould owned the place. Mr. Gould has never appeared.

Mr. Gould disappeared into obscurity, as it were. The arrest of his bartender to-day is a revelation.

Under fallen walls.

CHICAGO, Aug. 14.—An accident involving loss of life to an extent not yet known occurred at Clinton and Van Buren streets this morning.

The walls of the Burton Building, gutted by fire a short time ago, fell in with a terrific crash, burying in the ruins all the workmen who had been engaged in clearing out the rubbish preparatory to a rebuilding.

Joseph Hopp's dead body has already been taken from the debris.

Nicholas Lever was found still alive, but injured so that he is a dying condition.

No more details of death and injury can be given until the work of removing the fallen masonry is further advanced.

There is still danger, and the work has to be carried on with great care.

FOR HIS PRIVATE BUSINESS.

THE LATE MAURICE B. FLYNN SAID TO
HAVE USED HIS PARTNER'S MONEY.

A report to-day that the late Maurice B. Flynn, a member of the great iron manufacturing firm of Hotchkiss, Field & Co., whose works are at the foot of East One Hundred and Fourth street, this city, and in Kent avenue, Brooklyn, had involved the fortune of Charles H. Field, amounting to \$250,000 by giving notes in the name of the firm in order to obtain money for the purpose of carrying on his private business, was reported to-day to see any one.

Since Mr. Flynn's death his widow has been appointed as executor of his will. Lawyers Edward Lauterbach and Adler being her attorneys.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.

Mr. Adler said that there is no real foundation for the report, and that Mr. Flynn's will is in the hands of the court, and that it was impossible to tell in what condition he left his estate.